

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
NANCY GREEN,

Plaintiff,

Index No.:
07 CV 7423

-against-

ANSWER

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY, and
SCHERING CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

Defendant Schering Corporation ("SCHERING") by and through its attorneys, SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP, as and for its ANSWER to Plaintiff's Complaint, states and alleges upon information and belief as follows:

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE NATURE OF THE ACTION

FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 1 are denied.

SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 2 are denied.

THIRD: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 3, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

FOURTH: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 4, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 5 are denied.

SIXTH: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 6, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO PARTIES

SEVENTH: It is admitted that the allegations of paragraph 7 are sufficient to bring this matter within the jurisdiction of this court except SCHERING is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning plaintiff's alleged residence and administration of Tequin®. The remaining allegations of paragraph 7 are denied.

EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 8 are denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief.

NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 9 are denied except that SCHERING admits that it is a New Jersey corporation, that it marketed Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) in the United States in accordance with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) is an antibacterial agent.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

TENTH: The allegations of paragraph 10 are denied.

ELEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 11 are denied to the extent that plaintiff contends that SCHERING is responsible for any alleged injuries experienced by

plaintiff, and are denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief therein concerning plaintiff's alleged medical treatment. Defendant respectfully refers to the medical records and pharmacy records for plaintiff as to the circumstances surrounding the medical care and treatment rendered and plaintiff's alleged use of the product.

TWELFTH: The allegations of paragraph 12 are denied as to SCHERING.

THIRTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 13 are denied as to SCHERING.

FOURTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 14 are denied as alleged.

FIFTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 15 are denied.

SIXTEENTH: Answering paragraph 16 of plaintiff's complaint, SCHERING admits that the Food and Drug Administration first approved the New Drug Application for Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) on December 17, 1999, and that Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) was thereafter marketed for various uses in accordance with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling in the State of South Carolina and elsewhere.

SEVENTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 17 are denied as alleged and SCHERING refers to the Food and Drug Administration approved Tequin® labeling for information concerning approved product indications.

EIGHTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 18 are denied.

NINETEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 19 are denied except that SCHERING is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning other defendants.

TWENTIETH: The allegations of paragraph 20 are denied except that SCHERING is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning other defendants.

TWENTY-FIRST: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 21, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

TWENTY-SECOND: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 22, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING had no responsibility for marketing Tequin® in Japan and did not have responsibility for marketing Tequin® in the United States after 2002.

TWENTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 23 are denied except that SCHERING is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning other defendants.

TWENTY-FOURTH: The allegations contained in paragraph 24 are unrelated to SCHERING and no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, the allegations are denied.

TWENTY-FIFTH: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 25, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

TWENTY-SIXTH: The allegations contained in paragraph 26 are unrelated to SCHERING and no response is required. To the extent that an answer is required, the allegations are denied.

TWENTY-SEVENTH: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 27, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

TWENTY-EIGHTH: SCHERING denies the allegations of paragraph 28, and specifically denies said paragraph in the form alleged in so far as SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

TWENTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 29 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

THIRTIETH: The allegations contained in paragraph 30 are unrelated to SCHERING and no response is required. To the extent that an answer is required, the allegations are denied.

THIRTY-FIRST: The allegations contained in paragraph 31 are unrelated to SCHERING and no response is required. To the extent that an answer is required, the allegations are denied.

THIRTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 32 are denied.

THIRTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 33 are denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief therein. Defendant respectfully refers to the medical records and pharmacy records for plaintiff as to the circumstances surrounding the medical care and treatment rendered and plaintiff's alleged use of the product.

THIRTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 34 are denied to the extent that plaintiff contends that SCHERING is responsible for any alleged injury experienced by the plaintiff, and denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief concerning plaintiff's medical treatment. Defendant respectfully refers to the medical records and pharmacy records for plaintiff as to the circumstances surrounding the medical care and treatment rendered and plaintiff's alleged use of the product.

THIRTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 35 are denied.

THIRTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 36 are denied to the extent that plaintiff contends that SCHERING is responsible for any alleged damages sustained by the plaintiff.

THIRTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 37 are denied.

THIRTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 38 are denied.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT I
STRICT LIABILITY FOR VIOLATION OF THE DEFECTIVE PRODUCTS ACT

THIRTY-NINTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 38, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

FORTIETH: The allegations of paragraph 40 are denied.

FORTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 41 are denied.

FORTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 42 are denied for lack of sufficient information to justify a belief therein. Defendant respectfully refers to the medical records and pharmacy records for plaintiff as to the circumstances surrounding the medical care and treatment rendered and plaintiff's alleged use of the product.

FORTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 43 are denied.

FORTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 44 are denied.

FORTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 45 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

FORTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 46 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

FORTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 47 are denied.

FORTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 48 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

FORTY-NINTH: The allegations contained in paragraph 49 are unrelated to SCHERING and no response is required. To the extent that an answer is required, the allegations are denied.

FIFTIETH: The allegations of paragraph 50 are denied.

FIFTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 51 are denied.

FIFTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 52 are denied.

FIFTY-THIRD: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 53 are denied.

FIFTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 54 are denied.

FIFTY-FIFTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 55 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT II
NEGLIGENCE

FIFTY-SIXTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 55, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

FIFTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 57 are denied.

FIFTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 58 are denied.

FIFTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 59 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

SIXTIETH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 60 are denied.

SIXTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 61 are denied as to SCHERING.

SIXTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 62 are denied.

SIXTY-THIRD: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 63 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT III
BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS**

SIXTY-FOURTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 63, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

SIXTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 65 are denied.

SIXTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 66 are denied.

SIXTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 67 are denied.

SIXTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 68 are denied.

SIXTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 69 are denied.

SEVENTIETH: The allegations of paragraph 70 are denied.

SEVENTY-FIRST: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 71 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR A N ANSWER TO COUNT IV
BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY**

SEVENTY-SECOND: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 71, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

SEVENTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 73 are denied.

SEVENTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 74 are denied.

SEVENTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 75 are denied.

SEVENTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 76 are denied.

SEVENTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 77 are denied.

SEVENTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 78 are denied.

SEVENTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 79 are denied.

EIGHTIETH: The allegations of paragraph 80 are denied.

EIGHTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 81 are denied.

EIGHTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 82 are denied.

EIGHTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 83 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

EIGHTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 84 are denied.

EIGHTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 85 are denied.

EIGHTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 86 are denied.

EIGHTY-SEVENTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 87 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT V
NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION**

EIGHTY-EIGHTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 87, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

EIGHTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 89 are denied.

NINETIETH: The allegations of paragraph 90 are denied in the form alleged. SCHERING further states that it complied with all relevant Food and Drug Administration regulations in the marketing of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) consistent with its Food and Drug Administration approved labeling, and that SCHERING did not have any responsibility for marketing Tequin® after 2002.

NINETY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 91 are denied.

NINETY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 92 are denied.

NINETY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 93 are denied.

NINETY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 94 are denied.

NINETY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 95 are denied.

NINETY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 96 are denied.

NINETY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 97 are denied.

NINETY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 98 are denied.

NINETY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 99 are denied.

ONE HUNDREDTH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 100 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 101 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 102 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 103 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 104 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 105 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 106 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 107 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 108 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED NINTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 109 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT VI
FRAUD

ONE HUNDRED TENTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 109, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

ONE HUNDRED ELEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 111 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWELFTH: The allegations of paragraph 112 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 113 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 114 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 115 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED SIXTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 116 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 117 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 118 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED NINETEENTH: The allegations of paragraph 119 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTIETH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 120 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 121 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 122 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 123 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 124 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 125 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 126 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 127 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 128 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-NINTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 129 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT VII
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT**

ONE HUNDRED THIRTIETH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1-129, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 131 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-SECOND: The allegations and subparts of paragraph 132 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 133 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 134 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 135 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 136 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVENTH: The allegations of paragraph 137 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-EIGHTH: The allegations of paragraph 138 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 139 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTIETH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 140 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 140 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT VIII
INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIRST: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1-140, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SECOND: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 142 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-THIRD: The allegations of paragraph 143 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FOURTH: The allegations of paragraph 144 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIFTH: The allegations of paragraph 145 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 146 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVENTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 147 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT IX
VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES
ACT**

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-EIGHTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1-147, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-NINTH: The allegations of paragraph 149 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTIETH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 150 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FIRST: The allegations of paragraph 151 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-SECOND: The allegations of paragraph 152 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-THIRD: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers

all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 153 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO COUNT IX
PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FOURTH: Answering the allegations of paragraphs 1-153, defendant repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to all preceding paragraphs of this answer as if fully set forth at length herein.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FIFTH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 155 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-SIXTH: The allegations of paragraph 156 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-SEVENTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 157 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 157 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

**AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFF'S DAMAGES**

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHTH: The allegations and all subparts of paragraph 158 are denied.

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-NINTH: SCHERING makes no response to the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of plaintiff's Complaint which are purely of a legal nature and refers

all issues of law to the Court. To the extent an answer is required, the allegations of paragraph 159 are denied as alleged, except SCHERING admits that all parties request a jury trial.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That one or more of the causes of action are time barred pursuant to the applicable Statute of Limitations.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING specifically pleads estoppel, waiver, ratification, laches, accord and satisfaction, and failure to mitigate or minimize damages, if any.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any alleged negligent or culpable conduct of SCHERING, none being admitted, was so insubstantial as to be insufficient to be a proximate or substantial contributing cause of plaintiff's alleged injuries.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) was designed, manufactured, marketed, and labeled with proper warnings, information, cautions, and instructions, in accordance with the state of the art and the state of scientific and technological knowledge. SCHERING invokes all state of the art defenses applicable to plaintiff's claims, including the state of the art applicable to the industry in question, medicine, medical science, and all others, alleging that they discharged, according to law and due care, each and every duty which plaintiff may have been owed.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That any injuries which plaintiff may have sustained at the time and place set forth in the complaint herein and which resulted in his injuries therein alleged were caused in whole or in

part by the contributory negligence and/or culpable conduct of the plaintiff and not as a result of any contributory negligence and/or culpable conduct on the part of SCHERING.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine(s) contained in Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A, Comment k.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that plaintiff alleges that SCHERING failed to warn of known or knowable risks inherent in the use of its product, such claims are governed by the learned intermediary or informed intermediary rule or doctrine.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because plaintiff's injuries, if any, were the result of conduct of plaintiff, independent third parties over which SCHERING had no control, or events that were extraordinary under the circumstances, not foreseeable in the normal course of events, and/or independent, intervening, and superseding causes of the alleged injuries, including, but not limited to plaintiff's pre-existing medical conditions.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims of product defect are, or may be barred by Section 4 of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability because the product at issue complied with applicable product safety statutes and administrative regulations.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because SCHERING complied with all federal and state statutes as well as all administrative regulations.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) is comprehensively regulated by the United States Food and Drug Administration pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301 et seq., and regulations and orders promulgated thereunder. Accordingly, plaintiff's claims are preempted by the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, Article VI, clause 2, and the laws of the United States.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING has no legal relationship or privity with plaintiff and owes no duty to plaintiff by which liability could be attributed to SCHERING as it relates to the attempted causes of action for breach of any warranties.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING has made no warranties of any kind, express or implied, or any representations of any nature whatsoever to plaintiff. If any such warranties were made, whether express or implied, which SCHERING specifically denies, such claims are barred due to lack of privity with SCHERING, and/or for failure of plaintiff to give timely notice of any alleged breach of warranty, and by reason of disclaimers.

AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because the use of Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) was generally recognized as safe and effective pursuant to conditions established by the Food and Drug Administration and applicable regulations, including packaging and labeling regulations.

AS AND FOR A FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Tequin® (Gatifloxacin) was, and is, safe for its intended use and was, and is, not defective or unreasonably dangerous.

AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The conduct of SCHERING in all activities with respect to the subject product has been and is under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration. Accordingly, this action is barred by the Doctrine of Primary Jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Although SCHERING denies that plaintiff is entitled to judgment in any amount against them, SCHERING would show that any judgment against it is limited to the applicable amount or percentage of its liability.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING is not liable for any injuries or damages plaintiff may have incurred as a consequence of any use of the product at issue which was not specifically authorized in written information accompanying its products in accordance with applicable law.

AS AND FOR A NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING hereby gives notice that, to the extent the sophisticated purchaser doctrine is applicable to any of the allegations contained in the complaint, SCHERING intends to rely upon the same in defense of this action.

AS AND FOR A TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SCHERING hereby specifically denies each and every allegation contained in the complaint by which liability is sought to be imposed upon SCHERING and gives notice that SCHERING intends to rely upon such other affirmative defenses as may become available or known to it during the course of disclosure and discovery, and SCHERING reserves the right to amend this answer to assert any such defenses.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Section 6(c) and (d) of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability. Reasonable physicians knowing of the reasonably foreseeable risks and therapeutic benefits associated with the product at issue would have prescribed and did prescribe the product for classes of patients.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The injuries and/or damages of plaintiff (if any) were the result of unavoidable circumstances that could not have been prevented by anyone.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim against SCHERING upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The public interest and benefit and the availability of such product that is the subject matter of this action preclude liability for risks, if any, resulting from such activities, which were unavoidable given the state of human knowledge at the time those activities were undertaken. With respect to plaintiff's claims, if it is determined that there is a risk inherent in any said product, then such risk is outweighed by the benefits of the product.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If plaintiff suffered injuries and damages by reason of operation of nature or idiosyncratic and/or allergic reaction to the product at issue, used either alone or in combination with any other drug, SCHERING is not liable.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If plaintiff sustained injuries or incurred expenses as alleged, such injuries or expenses resulted from pre-existing or unrelated medical, genetic or environmental conditions, diseases or illnesses of the plaintiff that were unrelated to any of the conduct of defendant SCHERING.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any verdict or judgment rendered against SCHERING must be reduced by those amounts which have been, or will, with reasonable certainty, replace or indemnify plaintiff, in whole or in part, for any past or future claimed economic loss, from any collateral source such as insurance, social security, worker's compensation, or employee benefit programs.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Upon information and belief, plaintiff had full knowledge of the risks and possible adverse effects pertaining to ingestion and use of the alleged product, and part or all of the injuries, damages, and/or losses (if any) sustained by plaintiff arose from and were caused by such risks of which he was aware, and such risks were accepted and assumed by the plaintiff, and for that reason plaintiff's recovery (if any) should be diminished, reduced, offset or barred in accordance with the principles of assumption of risk and/or informed consent.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The product at issue was only available through the prescription of licensed physicians who were provided complete and adequate warnings and instructions consistent with the state of medical and scientific knowledge at the time.

AS AND FOR A THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Part of the damages alleged by plaintiff arising out of plaintiff's injuries is due to plaintiff's failure to mitigate his damages as required by law and therefore, may not be recovered by plaintiff in this action.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The product of which plaintiff complains has certain unavoidable, inherent characteristics that cannot be obviated under the state of scientific knowledge existing at the time such product was manufactured. While denying that such characteristics are dangerous or defective as a matter of law, if plaintiff sustained any injuries as a result of using or exposure to this product at issue, those injuries were the result of properties necessarily associated with the product that were unavoidable and for which SCHERING cannot be held responsible.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent plaintiff is seeking recovery for benefits entitled to be received or actually received from any other source for injuries alleged in the Complaint, such benefits are not recoverable in this action.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There is no basis for asserting independent liability against Schering Corporation. Accordingly, the Complaint against Schering Corporation should be dismissed.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Contingent upon the completion of discovery and to the extent applicable, SCHERING reserves the right to file such additional defenses as may be appropriate.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Venue is improper, or, alternatively, more convenient in another forum.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Schering Corporation, demands judgment dismissing plaintiff's complaint and all cross-claims with prejudice, with its costs, disbursements, attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated: New York, New York
September 25, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN, & ARNOLD LLP

By: 

MATTHEW J. McCAULEY (MM-9610)

Attorneys for Defendants

*BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY and
SCHERING CORPORATION*

125 Broad Street, 39th Floor

New York, New York 10004-2400

Telephone: (212) 422-0202

Facsimile: (212) 422-0925

[SDMA File No. 0196-005952]

To: David S. Nalven, Esq.
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
One Main Street, Fourth Floor
Cambridge, MA 02142

Robert B. Cary, Esq.
Donald Andrew St. John, Esq.
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
2425 E. Camelback Road, Suite 650
Phoenix, Arizona 85016